

## Selective Synthesis of Light Olefins from CO and H<sub>2</sub> with Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] Supported on Metal Oxides

Catalytic synthesis of low-molecular-weight olefins from CO and H<sub>2</sub> is of both commercial and academic interest in C<sub>1</sub> chemistry. The addition of potassium to Fe and Ru catalysts has long been recognized to enhance the selectivity toward olefinic hydrocarbons in the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (1, 2). Potassium, however, facilitates the sintering of metal crystallites, leading to a decrease in the catalytic activity. An approach to this problem seems to be the preparation of a highly dispersed Fe and Ru with potassium on the surface of supports. McVicker and Vannice (3) demonstrated that a highly dispersed Fe/K catalyst prepared by thermal decomposition of K<sub>2</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub> on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was active and selective for the formation of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>4</sub> olefins (50%). Commereuc *et al.* (4) found that HFe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>11</sub> on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gave rise to an unusual high selectivity (45%) for propene formation at the initial stage of the hydrogenation of CO. Recently, Hemmerich *et al.* (5) showed that [HFeCo<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub>]<sup>–</sup> anchored to ammonium-functionalized silica produced selectively olefinic hydrocarbons of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>19</sub> with a high activity during the hydrogenation of CO. Okuhara *et al.* (6) found that a highly dispersed Ru/K catalyst on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, prepared from Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, was much more active and selective for C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>6</sub> olefins (75%). In this note we report that a sodium-anionic triruthenium cluster Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>], supported on metal oxides such as TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO and thermally decomposed under vacuum, selectively yields light C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> olefins (69–75%) at 270°C with a high catalytic activity. In addition, a strong support effect

on the selectivity in the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis is explored by an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) analysis of the supported Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] catalysts.

Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>], which had been prepared according to the method of Johnson *et al.* (7), was supported by evaporating the methanol solvent at 30°C from a suspension of metal oxide in the methanol solution of Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>]. SiO<sub>2</sub> (Davison 952, 350 m<sup>2</sup>/g), γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Nishio, 150 m<sup>2</sup>/g), MgO (Wako, 93 m<sup>2</sup>/g), and TiO<sub>2</sub> (Koso, 40 m<sup>2</sup>/g) were dried under vacuum (10<sup>–4</sup> Torr) at 300–350°C for 20 h and used as supports. The supported catalysts were activated by heating to 400°C under vacuum. The content of Ru in the catalysts was 20 mg per g of catalyst. The hydrogenation of CO was carried out in a closed circulating glass reactor (total volume 240 cm<sup>3</sup>) operating in a continuous circulating flow mode. The products other than methane were collected in a trap kept at liquid-nitrogen temperature to suppress secondary reactions. Analyses of the products were based on gas chromatographs using Porapak Q (2 m) and VZ-10 (3 m) for hydrocarbons and active carbon (2 m) for CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>. The catalytic activity and selectivity were determined after attainment of a stationary rate.

The XPS spectra of supported catalysts were recorded on a Shimadzu electron spectrometer ESCA 750 with MgKα excitation radiation. Sample preparation for XPS measurements was performed in a glove box filled with nitrogen. The C (1s) line (*E*<sub>b</sub> = 284.5 eV) of the adsorbed diffusion pump oil was used as standard peak.

TABLE 1

Activities and Selectivities in Hydrogenation of CO over Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] Supported on Various Metal Oxides<sup>a</sup>

Support	Temp. (°C)	Rate <sup>b</sup>	S(CO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>	Product distribution in hydrocarbons <sup>d</sup>										Fraction of olefins (wt%)
				C <sub>1</sub> <sup>-</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	C <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	C <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	C <sub>5</sub> <sup>-</sup>	C <sub>5</sub> <sup>2-</sup>		
SiO <sub>2</sub>	270	3.6	3	72	14	1	7	3	2	1	0	0	5	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	270	5.6	2	31	12	8	4	24	2	13	1	5	50	
MgO	270	1.7	31	22	6	14	2	33	1	17	0	5	69	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	270	1.1	25	18	3	18	2	30	2	20	0	7	75	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	300	2.3	23	22	4	22	1	28	1	16	0	6	72	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	330	3.7	35	32	5	29	1	26	0	6	0	1	62	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	360	8.0	36	38	7	30	1	19	0	5	0	0	54	

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: CO + H<sub>2</sub> = 400 Torr, H<sub>2</sub>/CO = 2, catalysts = 0.4 g.<sup>b</sup> Rate is represented by mol CO · mol Ru<sup>-1</sup> · h<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>c</sup> Selectivity to CO<sub>2</sub> = 100 × N(CO<sub>2</sub>)/[Σ{nN(C<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>) + nN(C<sub>n</sub><sup>2-</sup>)} + N(CO<sub>2</sub>)].<sup>d</sup> Percentage of C<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup> (paraffin) or C<sub>n</sub><sup>2-</sup> (olefine) = 100 × nN(C<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>) or nN(C<sub>n</sub><sup>2-</sup>)/[Σ{nN(C<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>) + nN(C<sub>n</sub><sup>2-</sup>)}], where N(C<sub>n</sub><sup>-</sup>) = molecules of paraffin with *n* carbon atoms, and N(C<sub>n</sub><sup>2-</sup>) = molecules of olefin with *n* carbon atoms.

Table 1 lists the results of CO hydrogenation performed at an initial pressure of 400 Torr (H<sub>2</sub>/CO = 2; 1 Torr = 133.3 N m<sup>-2</sup>). The activity and selectivity at 270°C for the formation of hydrocarbons depended strongly upon the type of support used. The activity decreased in the order: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> > SiO<sub>2</sub> > MgO > TiO<sub>2</sub>. Also, the selectivity toward olefinic C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> hydrocarbons changed drastically among the supported Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] catalysts and decreased in the order: TiO<sub>2</sub> > MgO > Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> > SiO<sub>2</sub>. The Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] on TiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO exhibited a high selectivity (69 ~ 75%) for the formation of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> olefins at 270°C and showed a narrow product distribution which deviated from the Schulz–Flory distribution (8). In contrast, the ordinary Schulz–Flory product distribution was observed with the Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] on SiO<sub>2</sub> which gave a low selectivity (5%) for olefin formation. As shown in Table 1, the selectivity for the formation of C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> olefins over the Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>]/TiO<sub>2</sub> somewhat decreased with rising reaction temperature from 270 to 360°C. An apparent activation energy for the rate of CO hydrogenation was determined as 16 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.

The recent work of Pierantozzi *et al.* (9) has also demonstrated that the nature of

metal oxide support has a significant influence on the product distribution in CO hydrogenation over the catalysts derived from Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> on metal oxides (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MgO).

A strong support effect on the selectivity in the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis over the sodium-anionic triruthenium cluster was investigated by XPS spectroscopy. The Ru 3d<sub>5/2</sub> peak in the XPS spectrum of Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] on SiO<sub>2</sub> shifted from 280.2 to 279.9 eV after decarbonylation. The decarbonylated NaRu<sub>3</sub> on MgO and TiO<sub>2</sub> gave the Ru 3d<sub>5/2</sub> peak (279.3 eV) at lower binding energies than that (279.9 eV) on SiO<sub>2</sub>, confirming that Ru atoms on MgO and TiO<sub>2</sub> are more electron-rich than those on SiO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the electronic structure of Ru atoms is drastically influenced by the metal oxides used as supports.

In conclusion, an electron-rich ruthenium cluster produces selectively light olefins in the C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>5</sub> range from CO and H<sub>2</sub>. The use of Na[Ru<sub>3</sub>H(CO)<sub>11</sub>] as catalyst precursor offers a simple method for the preparation of highly active and selective Fischer–Tropsch catalyst.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Mr. N. Tomotsu in obtaining the XPS spectra.

## REFERENCES

1. Storch, H., Golumbic, H., and Anderson, R. B., "The Fischer-Tropsch and Related Syntheses." Wiley, New York, 1951.
2. Frohning, C. D., in "New Syntheses with Carbon Monoxide" (J. Falbe, ed.), p. 309. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
3. McVicker, G. B., and Vannice, M. A., *J. Catal.* **63**, 25 (1980).
4. Commereuc, D., Chauvin, Y., Hugues, F., Basset, M., and Oliver, D., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 154 (1980).
5. Hemmerich, R., Keim, W., and Röper, M., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 428 (1983).
6. Okuhara, T., Kobayashi, K., Kimura, T., Misono, M., and Yoneda, Y., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1114 (1981).
7. Johnson, B. F. G., Lewis, J., Raithby, P. R., and Süß, G., *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 1354 (1979).
8. Henric-Olivé, G., and Olivé, S., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **15**, 136 (1976).
9. Pierantozzi, R., Valagene, E. G., Nordquist, A. F., and Dyer, P. N., *J. Mol. Catal.* **21**, 189 (1983).

YOSHIHARU DOI  
HIROTO MIYAKE  
ATSUSHI YOKOTA  
KAZUO SOGA

*Research Laboratory of  
Resources Utilization  
Tokyo Institute of Technology  
Nagatsuta, Midori-ku  
Yokohama 227, Japan*

*Received April 4, 1984*